		Application No.	Applicant(s)								
	Office Action Summary 10/676,941 VAN BEEK, PETRUS J. L. Examiner Art Unit										
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit								
		KENAN CEHIC	2473								
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address								
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).								
Status											
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/</u>	22/2010									
2a)□		is action is non-final.									
	Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is								
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under	•									
Dispositi	on of Claims		,								
	Claim(s) <u>23-25,27-31,33-37,39-42,44 and 45</u>	is/are pending in the application	on.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	-	. ווכ								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iioiii consideration.									
	Claim(s) <u>23-25,27-31,33-37,39-42,44 and 45</u>	is/are rejected									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	is/are rejected.									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement									
ا ا	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers										
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.									
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119										
a)[12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen		57									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date. <u>20100804</u> . mal Patent Application								



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10676941	9/30/2003	VAN BEEK, PETRUS J. L.	SLA1425 (7146.0168)
			EXAMINER

KEVIN L. RUSSELL CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL LLP 1600 ODSTOWER 601 SW SECOND AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97204

KENAN CEHIC

ART UNIT PAPER

2473 20100804

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Herewith, the previous Final office action dated 07/15/2010 is vacated. Attached is a new Non-Final office action replacing the prior office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,941

Art Unit: 2473

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 1. Claims 23-25,27, 29, 33,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gvozdanovic et al (US 6,600,720) in view of Seo (US 6,959,448), Gross (US 7,032,020), and Fang (US 2007/0064722)

For claim 23, Gvozdanovic discloses a method of transmitting data, said method comprising (see figs. 6-8):

Application/Control Number: 10/676,941

Art Unit: 2473

(a) defining a first average rate to transmit a first plurality of packets of said data for presentation at a receiver (see col 5 lines 25-40 "SCR: Sustained cell rate..long term average rate"; figs. 6-8, SCR, all cells; col 8 lines 1-15 "sustained cell rate (average bandwidth) "; col 23 lines 10-15 "receiving end"; col 4 line 1-10 "at the transmitting entity...regenerates, at the receiving entity")

Page 3

- (b) defining a second rate to transmit a second plurality of packets of said data comprising a subset of said first plurality of packets wherein said second plurality of packets is less than said first plurality of packets, wherein said second rate is greater than said first average rate (see col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8 PCR=4SCR, MBS; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 " maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length")"
- (c) transmitting said second plurality of packets (see col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8 PCR=4SCR, MBS; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 "maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length") from a transmitter to said receiver at said second rate (col 23 lines 10-15 "receiving end"; col 4 line 1-10 "at the transmitting entity...regenerates, at the receiving entity")at said second rate (see col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8

Art Unit: 2473

PCR=4SCR, MBS; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 "maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length");

(d) the ones of said first plurality of packets for presentation at said receiver that are included in said second plurality of packets (see col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8 PCR=4SCR, MBS; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 "maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length"; figs 6-8, burst).

For claim 24, Gvozdanovic discloses wherein said second plurality of packets are provided to said transmitter at the maximum rate (see col 7 lines 1-15 "maximum allocated voice bandwidth..."; col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...maximum rate").

For claim 25, Gvozdanovic discloses said second plurality of packets are provided as a burst of packets with at least two packets transmitted in a back-to-back fashion without other packets between them (see col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8 burst; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 " maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length").

For claim 27, Gvozdanovic discloses all packets of said second plurality of packets contain at least one of audio data (see col 5 line 15-40 "voice traffic"; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 "voice channel").

For claim 29, Gvozdanovic discloses wherein said transmitting is by an APPLICATION LAYER (see col 5 line 25-35 "voice application").

Page 5

For claim 33, Gvozdanovic discloses wherein steps (b) and (c) are performed a plurality of times over a time period (see figs. 6-8).

For claim 34, Gvozdanovic discloses wherein said first average rate is equal to the bit rate of the data source (see col 5 line 15-40 "Variable bit rate...").

Gvozdanovic does not explicitly discuss the following:

For claim 23, an average rate; a viewer at a receiver; estimating the bandwidth of said wireless interconnection based on respective arrival times, at said receiver, of only those ones that are included in a burst.

Gross from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses the following:

For claim 23, Gross discloses estimating the bandwidth of said wireless interconnection (see col 14 line 60 -67; method applies to any network including wireless) based on respective arrival times, at said receiver (see claim 1 "determining segment bandwidth capacity....time stamps"; col 8 lines 30 through col 9 line 5; bandwidth capacity of network segment is determined using arrival times), of only those ones that are included in a burst (see col 5 line 31-67; col 6 line 47 through col 7 line 11; burst is used to calculate capacity);.

Art Unit: 2473

Seo from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses the following features:

For claim 23, Seo discloses from a transmitter to said receiver over a wireless interconnection (see fig. 1; 10, 20, 70, 80); a viewer at a receiver (see col 2 lines 1-40 "video file...provided from the server through a network...mobile terminal receives the video"); video for presentation to said viewer at said receiver (see col 2 lines 1-40 "video file...provided from the server through a network...mobile terminal receives the video...user")

Fang from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses the following features:

For claim 23, Fang discloses a average rate (see section 0091-92 "PCR...mean rates...")

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify / combine the features of Seo by using the above recited features, as taught by Gvozdanovic, Fang, and Gross in order to provide a reactive, realtime congestion control management method which allows more connections to be transported while maintaining quality(see Gvozdanovic cols 1-2); in order to efficiently transport synchronous data with limited jitter over a communication channel while making the remaining available bandwidth of the channel (see Fang section 0007); in order to provide a method for determining segment and link bandwidth capacities in networks and other communication systems, therefore being able to pinpoint potential problematic segments or high

bandwidth segments in order to use network resources better (see Gross col 2 lines 7-32).

Art Unit: 2473

In regards to Gross it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement the bandwidth capacity estimation method using bursts, where burst as disclosed by Gvozdanovic are used.

2. Claims 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gvozdanovic et al (US 6,600,720), Seo (US 6,959,448), Gross (US ,032,020), and Fang (US 2007/0064722) as applied to claim 23, further in view of Makrucki (US 5,548,581)

For claim 28, Gvozdanovic, Seo, Gross and Fang discloses the claimed invention as described above.

Gvozdanovic, Seo, Gross and Fang are silent about:

For claim 28, said second plurality of packets is transmitted in a duration less than 1 second.

Makrucki from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses the following features:

For claim 28, Makrucki discloses said second plurality of packets is transmitted in a duration less than 1 second (see col 8 lines 20-40 "0.256 milliseconds...1 burst").

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify / combine the features of Gvozdanovic, Seo, Gross and Fang by using the above recited features, as taught by Makrucki, in order to provide a communication system with an improved ability to make connection acceptance/rejection decisions (see Makrucki col 2)

Art Unit: 2473

3. Claims 30,31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gvozdanovic et al (US 6,600,720), Seo (US 6,959,448), Gross (US ,032,020), and Fang (US 2007/0064722) as applied to claim 23, further in view Khirman (US 20080117915)

For claim 30,31, Gvozdanovic, Seo, Gross and Fang discloses the claimed invention as described above.

Gvozdanovic, Seo, Gross and Fang are silent about:

For claim 30, wherein said transmitting is by a transport layer

For claim 31 and 42, wherein said transmitting is by a network layer

Khirman from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses a communication network with the following features:

For claim 30, Khirman discloses wherein said transmitting is by a transport layer (see section 0004 "transport layer...network layer"; see fig. 1).

For claim 31, Khirman discloses wherein said transmitting is by a network layer (see section 0004 "transport layer...network layer"; see fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Gvozdanovic, Seo, Gross and Fang by using the features, as taught by Khirman, in order to provide a module where multiple higher level functions can operate on any lower level functions

4. Claims 35-37, 40, 44, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gvozdanovic et al (US 6,600,720) in view of Gross (US 7,032,020)

Application/Control Number: 10/676,941

Page 9 Art Unit: 2473

For claim 35, Gyozdanovic discloses A method of transmitting a contiguous sequence of data (see figs 6-8, burst), said method comprising:

(a) defining a transmission rate to transmit a plurality of packets of said contiguous sequence data wherein said transmission rate is greater than the average rate for transmitting said data to a receiver (see col 5 lines 25-40

"PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8 PCR=4SCR, MBS; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 "maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length")';

(b)

transmitting said plurality of packets of said data (see col 5 lines 25-40

"PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8 PCR=4SCR, MBS; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 " maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length")' over a

interconnection to a receiver (col 23 lines 10-15 "receiving end"; col 4 line 1-10 "at the transmitting entity...regenerates, at the receiving entity"), wherein all packets contain at least one of audio data (see col 5 line 15-40 "voice traffic"; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 "voice channel); those packets of said contiguous sequence of data included in said plurality of packets (see figs 6-8, burst)

For claim 36, Gvozdanovic discloses wherein said second plurality of packets are provided to said transmitter at the maximum rate (see col 7 lines 1-15 "maximum allocated voice bandwidth..."; col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...maximum rate").

For claim 37, Gvozdanovic discloses said second plurality of packets are provided as a burst of packets with at least two packets transmitted in a back-to-back fashion without other packets between them (see col 5 lines 25-40 "PCR...SCR<PCR..MBS...maximum number..at PCR within the terms of the SCR..define bounds on burst durations"; figs. 6-8 burst; col 7 lines 25 through col 8 line 15 " maximum length...transmit at PCR...PCR=4SCR...maximum length").

For claim 40, Gvozdanovic discloses wherein said transmitting is by an APPLICATION LAYER (see col 5 line 25-35 "voice application").

For claim 44, Gvozdanovic discloses wherein said first average rate is equal to the bit rate of the data source (see col 5 line 15-40 "Variable bit rate...").

Gyozdanovic is silent about:

For claim 35, estimating the bandwidth of said wireless interconnection based on respective arrival times, at said receiver, of only those ones that are included in a burst.

For claim 45, performing said transmitting and said estimating a plurality of times over a time period.

Gross from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses the following features:

Art Unit: 2473

For claim 35,Gross discloses estimating the bandwidth of said wireless interconnection (see col 14 line 60 -67; method applies to any network including wireless) based on respective arrival times, at said receiver (see claim 1 "determining segment bandwidth capacity....time stamps"; col 8 lines 30 through col 9 line 5; bandwidth capacity of network segment is determined using arrival times), of only those ones that are included in a burst (see col 5 line 31-67; col 6 line 47 through col 7 line 11; burst is used to calculate capacity);.

For claim 45, Gross discloses performing said transmitting and said estimating a plurality of times over a time period (see fig. 6, 7b).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify / combine the features of Gvozdanovic by using the above recited features, as taught by Gross in order to provide a reactive, in order to provide a method for determining segment and link bandwidth capacities in networks and other communication systems, therefore being able to pinpoint potential problematic segments or high bandwidth segments in order to use network resources better (see Gross col 2 lines 7-32). In regards to Gross it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement the bandwidth capacity estimation method using bursts, where burst as disclosed by Gvozdanovic are used.

Art Unit: 2473

5. Claims 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gvozdanovic et al (US 6,600,720), Gross (US 7,032,020) as applied to claim 35, further in view of Makrucki (US 5,548,581)

For claim 39, Gvozdanovic, Gross discloses the claimed invention as described above. Gvozdanovic, Gross are silent about:

For claim 39, said second plurality of packets is transmitted in a duration less than 1 second.

Makrucki from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses the following features: For claim 39, Makrucki discloses said second plurality of packets is transmitted in a duration less than 1 second (see col 8 lines 20-40 "0.256 milliseconds...1 burst"). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify / combine the features of Gvozdanovic, and Gross by using the above recited features, as taught by Makrucki, in order to provide a communication system with an improved ability to make connection acceptance/rejection decisions (see Makrucki col 2)

6. Claims 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gvozdanovic et al (US 6,600,720), Gross (US 7,032,020) as applied to claim 35, further in view Khirman (US 20080117915)

For claim 41,42, Gvozdanovic, Gross discloses the claimed invention as described above. Gvozdanovic, Gross are silent about:

For claim 41, wherein said transmitting is by a transport layer

For claim 42, wherein said transmitting is by a network layer

Khirman from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses a communication network with the following features:

For claim 41, Khirman discloses wherein said transmitting is by a transport layer (see section 0004 "transport layer...network layer"; see fig. 1).

For claim 42, Khirman discloses wherein said transmitting is by a network layer (see section 0004 "transport layer...network layer"; see fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Gvozdanovic, and Gross by using the features, as taught by Khirman, in order to provide a module where multiple higher level functions can operate on any lower level functions

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KENAN CEHIC whose telephone number is (571)270-3120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KWANG BIN YAO can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2473

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenan Cehic/

Examiner, Art Unit 2473

/KWANG B. YAO/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2473

Index of Claims Index of Claims 10676941 Examiner KENAN CEHIC Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination VAN BEEK, PETRUS J. L. Art Unit 2473

~	Rejected	-	Cancelled	N	Non-Elected	Α	Appeal
=	Allowed	÷	Restricted	ı	Interference	0	Objected

CL	AIM					DATE			
Final	Original	11/02/2008	05/11/2009	10/25/2009	04/01/2010		T		
	23	√	√	√	√	√			
	24	√	√	√	√	√			
	25	√	√	√	√	√			
	26	√	√	-	-	-			1
	27	√	√	√	√	√			
	28	√	√	√	√	√			
	29	√	√	√	√	√			
	30	√	√	√	√	√			
	31	√	✓	√	√	✓			
	32	√	✓	-	-	-			
	33	√	✓	✓	√	√			
	34	√	✓	✓	√	√			
	35	√	✓	✓	✓	√			
	36	√	✓	√	√	✓			
	37	√	✓	√	√	✓			
	38	√	✓	-	-	-			
	39	√	✓	√	√	√			
	40	√	✓	√	√	√			1
	41	√	✓	√	√	√			
	42	√	✓	✓	√	√			
	43	√	√	-	-	-			
	44	✓	√	√	✓	√			
	45	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	46	N	-	-	-	-			
	47	N	-	-	-	-			
	48	N	-	-	-	-			
	49	N	-	-	-	-			
	50	N	-	-	-	-			
	51	N	-	-	-	-			
	52	N	-	-	-	-			
	53	N	-	-	-	-			
	54	N	-	-	-	-			
	55	N	-	-	-	-			
	56	N	-	-	-	-			
	57	N	-	-	-	-			
	58	N	_	_	_	_			1

Index of Claims 10676941 Examiner KENAN CEHI

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination
10676941	VAN BEEK, PETRUS J. L.
Examiner	Art Unit
KENAN CEHIC	2473

✓	Rejected
=	Allowed

•	Cancelled
+	Restricted

N	Non-Elected
ı	Interference

Α	Appeal
0	Objected

CL	MIA					DATE	 		
Final	Original	11/02/2008	05/11/2009	10/25/2009	04/01/2010			Ī	
	59	N	_	-	-	-		1	
	60	N	-	_	-	-		1	
	61	N	-	-	-	_		1	-
	62	N	_	_	-	_		1	
	63	N	-	-	-	-			
	64	N	-	_	-	-			
	65	N	_	_	-	_			
	66	N	-	_	-	_		†	1
	67	N	-	_	-	-			
	68	N	-	-	-	-		†	
	69	N	-	-	-	-		<u> </u>	
	70	N	-	-	-	-			
	71	N	_	_	-	_			
	72	N	-	_	-	_			
	73	N	_	_	_	_			
	74	N	-	-	-	-			
	75	N	_	_		_		+	
	76	N	-	-	-	_	 _	†	-
	77	N	-	-	-	_			
	78	N	_	_	_	_			
	79	N	_	_		_	1		
	80	N	_	_		_		1	
	81	N	-	_	_	_			
	82	N	-	_	_	_			
	83	N	_	_	-	_			
	84	N	-	-	_	_	-	1	
	85	N	_	_	_	_			
	86	N	_	_	_	_			
	87	N	-	_	-	_		1	
	88	N	_	_	_	_		<u> </u>	
	89	N	-	-	-	-	_	+	
	90	N	-	_	-	-		+	
	91	N	-	-	-	_		+	
	92	N	-	-	-			+	
	93	N	-	-		<u> </u>			_

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination
10676941	VAN BEEK, PETRUS J. L.
Examiner	Art Unit
KENAN CEHIC	2473
	10676941 Examiner

✓	Rejected		-	Cancelled		N	Non-Elected		Α	Appeal	
II	Allowed		÷	Restricted		_	Interference		0	Objected	
☐ Claims renumbered in the same order as presented by applicant ☐ CPA ☐ T.D. ☐ R.1.47											

☐ Claims renumbered in the same order as presented by applicant							□ СРА	□ т.в). 🗆	R.1.47
CLAIM		DATE								
Final	Original	11/02/2008	05/11/2009	10/25/2009	04/01/2010	06/22/2010				
	95	N	-	-	-	-				
	96	N	-	-	-	-		_		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No.: 20100622